

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,825	11/04/2003	Hideshi Tezuka	244860US0SX	4450
22850	7590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
	SIREE1 RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/699,825	TEZUKA, HIDESHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael La Villa	1775				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	av 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
. —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
	application from the International Bureau	· ·	in this Hational Otage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
		·					
Attachmen		A) 🗖 Inton dan 0	(DTO 412)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
- apt		5) [Onler					

Application/Control Number: 10/699,825 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 31 May 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 3. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding Claims 1, 6, 9, 14, 20, and 24, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "so as to reduce an amount of coarse carbides, which cause creep damage of type 4, remaining in the base metal." It is unclear what is the baseline against which reduction is to be measured. It is unclear whether this limitation is a description of a reason to do normalization or whether this limitation is a description of what the consequence of normalization must be. It is unclear what is the significance of the reference to creep damage of type 4. Does the limitation require any impact on creep damage of type 4 as a consequence of

Application/Control Number: 10/699,825

normalization or merely define coarse carbides as those carbides that cause creep damage of type 4? If the former, it is unclear what impact on creep damage of type 4 is required by the claim. It is unclear what is meant by the phrase "before the welding in addition to the hot working." It is unclear whether the normalization must occur before hot working, which necessarily precedes heat treatment, or whether some other sequences of steps are permitted by the claim language.

6. Regarding Claims 2, 7, 10, 15, 21, and 25, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "subjected to annealing or normalizing and tempering." It is unclear what is the relationship between these processes and the claimed method steps of the previous claim. Are these additional method steps, product-by-process limitations, or something else? How are the "or" and "and" to be understood?

Response to Amendment

7. In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, applicant traverses the section 102 rejection over Kawano of the Office Action mailed on 5 December 2005. Rejection is withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is
(571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through
Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,825

Art Unit: 1775

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

Page 4

571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael La Villa 23 June 2006

MCHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D.